

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IMPINJ, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

NXP USA, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:19-cv-03161-YGR


JURY NOTES

Judge: Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Trial Date: July 5, 2023

Attached hereto are the notes received from the jury during trial and deliberations.

Dated: 7/18/2023


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUROR QUESTIONS
FOR CIVIL CASES

CASE NAME: IMPINJ, INC. V. NXP USA, INC.

CASE NUMBER: 19-CV-3161

A question for a witness may be submitted to the Court through the clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

FROM:


BEHNAZ BANISHAHABADI


IMPINJ MANZAR 6

~~MANZAR 6~~



VCODE 8



- What is the impact of antenna size on the chip performance?
- Did the hatched area  had impact on the chip performance on VCODE 8 compared to manza 6?

DATE AND TIME RECEIVED: JULY 6, 2023 12:08 PM



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUROR QUESTIONS
FOR CIVIL CASES

CASE NAME: IMPINJ, INC. v. NXP USA, INC.

CASE NUMBER: 19-CV-3161

A question for a witness may be submitted to the Court through the clerk during a recess or at the close of testimony. The Court will decide whether it is appropriate to ask the question. If it is not asked, do not speculate as to the reasons for the decision.

FROM:

Andrew Hu

I believe Mr. Amtmann said that each stage has only DC inputs and outputs. Does this exclude the antenna connections?

DATE AND TIME RECEIVED: 7/11/2023 @ 10:18 AM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

Case No. 19-cv-03161-YGR

CASE NAME: Impinj v. NXP USA

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 1

Date 7/13/2023

Time 12:50

FILED

JUL 13 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

Our schedule will be 8:30am to 4:00pm
tomorrow and until 4:00pm today.

Andrew M.

Foreperson of the Jury

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

Case No. 19-cv-03161-YGR

CASE NAME: Impinj v. NXP USA

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 2

Date 7/14/2023

Time _____

FILED

JUL 14 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

~~For section 6,~~ Could we have some clarification
of section C? Is it asking us to evaluate the obviousness
of each claim from other claims?

Andrew Hm

Foreperson of the Jury

No. The obviousness of each claim
is measured against the prior
art. Each claim needs to be
evaluated independently.

Julie
7/14/2023
8:52 AM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

Case No. 19-cv-03161-YGR

CASE NAME: Impinj v. NXP USA

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 3

Date 7/14/2023

Time 10:42am

FILED

JUL 14 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

Is the HITAG's product data sheet (Ex 160.4)
considered prior art?

Andrew Mu
Foreperson of the Jury

*The jury can consider all the evidence
admitted at trial. Exhibit 160.4 was
not identified as "a prior art reference."*

Juey
7/14/2023
11:32am

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

Case No. 19-cv-03161-YGR

CASE NAME: Impinj v. NXP USA

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 4

Date 7/14/23

Time 12:54 pm

FILED

JUL 14 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

SECTION C - CLAIM 3.

① PLEASE DEFINE NON-CONVEX SHAPE ② IS TWO PARALLEL LINE
CONSIDERED NON-CONVEX?

③ DO WE NEED TO DECIDE ABOUT OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIM
3 WITH CONSIDERATION OF CLAIM 1?

Andrew M
Foreperson of the Jury

① Definition: A channel shape having its end widths
that are wider than its center widths.

② See definition in No. 1.

③ Claim 3 is dependent on Claim 1. You
should follow the Court's instructions regarding
dependent claims with respect to Claim 3.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

Case No. 19-cv-03161-YGR

CASE NAME: Impinj v. NXP USA

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 5

Date 7/14/2023

Time 1:36 pm

FILED

JUL 14 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

(answers given)
For section D, are the values in #3 additive
or will only one value be used?

Andrew Lin

Foreperson of the Jury

You should decide whether plaintiff is entitled to lost profits, if any, on the '597 patent independent of whether plaintiff is entitled to lost profits on the 1302 patent. However, if you decide that plaintiff is entitled to lost profits on both, you should note on the verdict form how much of those profits overlap. Ultimately, plaintiff cannot recover duplicate profits on the same sales.

Deeg
7/14/23 2:22 pm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDGE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

Case No. 19-cv-03161-YGR

CASE NAME: Impinj v. NXP USA

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 6

Date 7/14/2023

Time 3:18 pm

FILED

JUL 14 2023

**CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (✓)

or

2. The Jury has the following question:

Andrew Ha

Foreperson of the Jury